Appln. No. 10/771,385 Amdt. Dated April 3, 2006 Reply to Office Action clated December 6, 2005

REMARKS

The units of dimension of the workpiece 88 have been explained in the amendment to the specification.

Claims 5 and 26 have been canceled.

Claim 23 has been amended to remove the limitation of the second guiding strip aligning with the third edge of the platform when forming the third pre-determined angle.

Claim 25 has been amended to clarify how the first and second distances are measured and to remove the term "less inches" which is unnecessary.

In paragraph 4 of the Official Action, with reference to item (1), claim 5 has been cancelled. With respect to item (2) the alignment requirement in claim 23 has been cancelled. For item (3), claim 25 has been amended to clarify the first and second distances and the first and second distances have been shown in red in Fig. 1 as "x" and "y", respectively.

A replacement sheet of the drawings containing an amended Figure 1 is enclosed herewith. Line 2-2 in Figure 1 shows where the cross-section of Figure 2 is taken.

Appln. No. 10/771,385 Amdt. Dated April 3, 2006 Reply to Office Action clated December 6, 2005

In response to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, claims 5 ad 26 have been cancelled and claims 23 and 25 have been amended to comply with the objections.

The Official Action rejects claims 1-4, 6, 7, 18, 20, 24, 30 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gamble in view of Gommper et al., and Carter. argued that Gamble discloses a guide for a power saw having a platform and two runners but fails to disclose first and second guide strips. Gommper discloses a guide strip that slides over and is clamped to the platform. Carter discloses a base plate 11 having a longitudinally extending guide 18 and a guide strip 32. The board to be cut is placed on top of the base plate 11 and the guide strip is raised or lowered so that it contacts the top surface of the board(s) 3 and is then clamped in place. Neither Gommper nor Carter discloses a quiding strip in engagement with the runners as neither Gommper nor Carter have In Gommper, the quide strip is affixed to the top of the platform away from an edge of the platform that is coincident with the cut that is to be made. misalignment of the guiding strip can result in the handheld saw cutting the edge. Similarly, in Gamble any slight Appln. No. 10/771,385 Amdt. Dated April 3, 2006 Reply to Office Action clated December 6, 2005

change in adjustment of the hand-held saw can result in the saw cutting the associated kerf gauge.

In summary, Gamble discloses no guiding strips.

Gommper discloses a single guide strip that slides over the platform or base plate. It does not engage runners as it discloses no runners. Carter discloses a guide strip that clamps a workpiece to a top of a platform. Its function is different than that of either Applicant or that of the other above-mentioned cited references.

Thus, Gamble in combination with Gommper and Carter fail to disclose all of the elements of claim 1.

If claim 1 is allowable, so are all of the remaining rejected claims, as all of the latter depend either directly or indirectly on claim 1.

Appln. No. 10/771,385 Amdt. Dated April 3, 2006 Reply to Office Action dated December 6, 2005

Re-consideration of the application herein is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 3/06

Clifford W. Vermette

Reg. No. 30,018

Attorney for the Applicant

c/o Vermette & Co.
Box 40, Granville Square
Suite 230 - 200 Granville Street
Vancouver, British Columbia
Canada V6C 154

Tel: (604) 331-0381 Fax: (604) 331-0382